This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,450	06/27/2003	James D. Balan	BALJ09A	6601	
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER		
RICHARD L. MILLER			HAYES, BRET C		
12 Parkside Drive Dix Hills, NY 11746-4879			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/609,450	BALAN, JAMES D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bret C Hayes	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at the statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific of the oath or declaration is objected.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperical priority documents have been received in Aperical (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20030627</u>. 	(8) Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3, 4, 12 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3 recites the limitations "the first end" in line 4 and "said first end" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 4. Claim 4 recites the limitations "the second end" in line 1 and "said second end" in line 6.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 5. Claims 12 14 and 16 recite the limitation "an associated retractor" in lines 3, 3, 4 and 3, respectively, which is unclear as the base claim (claim 8) recites an associated retractor in line 5 and it is not understood whether this recitation is a separate and distinct associated retractor or the retractor of the base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson (4,328,767).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,450 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

8. Re – claim 1, Peterson discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a pair of retractors 15. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use more than the one retractor disclosed by Peterson, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St., Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

- 9. Re claim 2, Peterson discloses a slender, elongated collar 10.
- 10. Re claim 3, Peterson discloses a pair of ends, a ring 13 and the method of attaching.
- 11. Re claim 4, Peterson discloses the claimed invention including a buckle 11, except for the use hook and loop fasteners. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute hook and loop fasteners for a buckle, since the equivalence of hook and loop fasteners and buckles for their use in the fastening art and the selection of any known equivalents to buckles would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 12. Re claim 5, Peterson disclose a slender, elongated leash 16.
- 13. Re claim 6, Peterson discloses the leash having a pair of ends, but does not disclose each end being operatively connected to the pair of retractors. As applied to claim 1, the duplication of parts would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so arrange the duplicated parts, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 14. Re claim 7, in view of claims 1 and 6 above, Peterson discloses the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,450

Art Unit: 3644

15. Re – claim 8, in view of claims 1 and 6 above, Peterson further discloses the retractor 15

comprising a housing 30, a retracting mechanism 50 attached to an end of the leash 16.

Re – claim 9, Peterson discloses the housing 30 being cylindrically shaped and extending 16.

generally normal to the collar 10.

17. Re – claim 10, Peterson discloses a slit 40 so arranged.

18. Re – claims 11 - 17, Peterson discloses the claimed invention except for the structure of

the retracting mechanism. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to substitute any known retracting mechanism with the

mechanism disclosed by Peterson, since the equivalence of retracting mechanisms for their use in

the tethering art and the selection of any known equivalents to retracting mechanisms would be

within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at

telephone number (703) 306 – 0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through

Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Jordan, can be reached at (703) 306 – 4159. The fax number is (703) 872 –

9306.

bh

2/22/04

Page 4

Vita no Pr